

1 Nathan P. Eimer (*pro hac vice*)  
neimer@eimerstahl.com  
2 Vanessa G. Jacobsen (*pro hac vice*)  
vjacobsen@eimerstahl.com  
3 Brian Y. Chang (CA Bar No. 287757)  
bchang@eimerstahl.com  
4 EIMER STAHL LLP  
224 South Michigan Avenue  
5 Suite 1100  
Chicago, IL 60604  
6 Phone: (312) 660-7600  
Fax: (312) 692-1718

7 *Attorneys for LG Electronics, Inc.,*  
8 *LG Electronics USA, Inc., and*  
9 *LG Electronics Taiwan Taipei Co., Ltd.*

10  
11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
13 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

14 IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)  
15 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

16 This document relates to:

17 ANTHONY GIANASCA AND  
18 BARBARA CALDWELL  
*on behalf of themselves and all*  
*others similarly situated,*

19 Plaintiffs,

20 v.

21 LG ELECTRONICS, INC., et al.,

22 Defendants.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Case No.: 4:08-cv-01559

Master File No. 4:07-cv-05944-JST

MDL No. 1917

**[PROPOSED] ORDER CLARIFYING  
ORDER [6163]**

Judge: Hon. Jon S. Tigar  
Courtroom: Courtroom 6, 2nd Floor

**Order**

Pursuant to LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics USA, Inc., and LG Electronics Taiwan Taipei Co., Ltd. (collectively “LGE”)’s Corrected Administrative Motion to Clarify Order [6163], ECF No. 6167, this Court clarifies its February 23, 2023, Order, ECF No. 6163.

The Stipulation with [Proposed] Order as to Withdrawal of Motion to Amend and All Related Motions and to Dismissal with Prejudice and Without Costs, ECF No. 6162, did not apply to LGE’s Motion for Sanctions, ECF No. 6126. The Court’s Order granting the stipulation, ECF No. 6163, does not resolve the Motion for Sanctions.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Jon S. Tigar  
United States District Judge